

Dear Dr. Feldman,

I am greatly supporting of your open nominations of Prof. Ruggero Maria Santilli. I will indeed file in Amsterdam by formal nomination via email and in signed hard copies via mail. However, let me explain a little my point of view on Nobel prizes and science in general.

I have discovered that the exact sciences (and maybe science in general) have been corrupted thoroughly by commercial interest, almost since the beginning of the Nobel price history, and I assume the Nobel price organization is fully aware of this. Therefore, I do not have much faith in the scientific value of the Nobel price, nor do I have faith in the authority of Nobel price winners. For instance, the Nobel price committee keeps the motivation for selecting a particular winner a secret for more than 50 years. This secrecy goes against the original philosophy of western science, as an open debate of free thinking individuals, and actually undermines this open character, because of the fully overblown status and stature of Nobel price and its winners.

The experimental work of those scientists that can expose the current physics paradigm as wrong, is simply ignored and silenced by the scientific community, which seems to live by the rules and deception of mighty and global commercial organizations. To give a few examples:

- the tested combustion residue of Santilli's magnegas
 - the extreme ultraviolet light that can be emitted by pure hydrogen gas (which is beyond the standard QM theory, a discovery by Randy Mills, and confirmed by many labs)
 - the observed 'superluminal', or even 'ultraluminal' speed of the Coulomb field (which is not a TEM wave after all), which is explained by my General Classical Electrodynamics theory.
 - many astronomy observations that oppose the big bang theory
 - Podkletnov's impulse gravity signal velocity measurement: $v=64c$
- etc ...

I have always looked into the "alternative" side of science as well, for the truth and how to understand Nature. I truly believe that Dr. R.M. Santilli's achievements in the fields of mathematics, physics and chemistry are simply beyond the dubious honor of a Nobel price, however, if it is prof. Santilli's wish I would gladly nominate him. The observed experimental evidence and results are such, that there is no 'standard chemistry' explanation, regarding the combustion residue of Santilli's 'magnegas'. It would have been ideal if these interesting chemistry results would have been debated widely, and that several 'opposing' explanations of the results would have been put to the test further, such as the magnecule hypothesis. However, the pure results are already more than sufficient for a Nobel price nomination.

I would like to repeat (I have written prof. Santilli similar words) that I dedicate my own work and discoveries entirely to Santilli's scientific legacy and most of all, to R.M. Santilli's courage and ethics by opposing the evident corruption that dominates many scientific institutions, sadly enough. He has been a great example to me, and I am for ever grateful for his encouragements.

How can I register as a committee member for prof. Santilli's nomination?

Best regards,

.....
Professor of Chemistry
Department of Chemistry
University of